

## Appendix 2.

### Ecological Reference Worksheet

**Author(s) / participant(s):** Don Ashby Jr., D'Laynn Bruce, Jim Norris, John Hartung, Jerry Sparks

**Contact for lead author :** Don Ashby Jr.

**Reference site used? Yes/No**

No

**Date:** 3/21/2005 **MLRA:** 70 **Ecological Site:** Sandhills CP-2 This must be verified based on soils and climate (see Ecological Site Description). Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.


<b>Indicators:</b> For each indicator, describe the potential for the site. Where possible, (1) use numbers, (2) include expected range of values for above and below average years for <u>each</u> community within the reference state, when appropriate & (3) site data. Continue description on separate sheet.	Indicator Weight
<b>1. Number and extent of rills :</b>  None	
<b>2. Presence of water flow patterns:</b>  None	
<b>3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:</b>  None	
<b>4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground) :</b>  Bare ground may be present up to 35%. Bare patches should be less than 8-10 inches in diameter.	
<b>5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:</b>  None	
<b>6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:</b>  None unless these soils are unprotected by plant cover and organic residue then sites can become wind blown and converts to unstable dunes.	
<b>7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel) :</b> Fine (plant material) litter movement, 1-3 feet, can occur in flow patterns and during high wind occurrences for areas exceeding 35% bare ground.	
<b>8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability) values are averages - most sites will show a range of values for both plant canopy and interspaces, if different):</b>  Anticipated to be 2-3 at the surface and subsurface in the interspaces and 3-4 at the surface and subsurfaces under vegetation.	
<b>9. Soil surface structures and SOM content (include type and strength of structure, and A-horizon color and thickness for both plant canopy and interspaces, if different) :</b> Soils are fine sandy or loamy fine sand, reddish brown in color with the A horizon 1-3 inches in depth. Soils are deep and excessively drained with rapid permeability.	
<b>10. Effect of plant community composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) &amp; spatial distribution on infiltration &amp; runoff:</b> Grasses and Forbs account for 85% of the annual herbaceous production for this site and make up 35% of the site composition. Infiltration is best with low intensity rainfall events with little potential for runoff.	
<b>11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):</b>  None	
<b>12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground weight using symbols: indicate much greater than ( &gt;&gt; ) , greater than ( &gt; ) , and equal to ( = ) :</b>  Warm Season bunch grasses>Cool Season bunch grasses>Shrubs(Oak, Sagebrush), Forbs(Sunflower, Buckwheat)	
<b>13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence) :</b> Most of the perennial grasses, forbs, shrubs are long lived. Extended drought periods tend to cause high mortality rates in the grass species, with some mortality in forbs. Shrub mortality can occur in severe, multiple year droughts.	
<b>14. Average percent litter cover ( 30 % ) and depth ( 1.18 inches).</b> Percent litter cover on this site will increase with above average year rainfall.	
<b>15. Expected annual production (this is <u>TOTAL</u> above-ground production, not just forage production):</b> 1100 lbs/ac low precip. years, 1800 lbs/ac in average precip years, 2500 lbs/ac in above average years. Grass/Grasslikes make up to 75% of the total annual production.	
<b>16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which characterize degraded states and which, after a threshold is crossed, "can, and often do , continue to increase regardless of the management of the site and may eventually dominate the site":</b>  Mesquite can invade these sites and dominate with extended drought periods and livestock overgrazing.	
<b>17. Perennial plant reproductive capability :</b> Weather related and natural disease can result in reduced reproductive capabilities. If mesquite species dominate the site it can reduce reproductive capabilities of the native grasses and forbs.	

**Photograph (s)**

**MLRA** : 70


**Date :**

**Ecological Site :** Sandhills CP-2



**Photo # 1**

**Comments :**



**Photo # 2**

**Comments :**

## Appendix 4.

## Functional / Structural Groups Worksheet

<b>State</b>	<b>NM</b>	<b>Office</b>	<b>Fort Sumner</b>	<b>Ecological Site</b>	<b>Sandhills CP-2</b>
--------------	-----------	---------------	--------------------	------------------------	-----------------------

<b>Observers</b>	Don Ashby Jr., D'Llaynn Bruce, Jim Norris, John Hartung, Jerry Sp	<b>Date</b>	3/21/05
------------------	---	-------------	---------

[illegible]

**Indicate whether each "structural/functional group" is a Dominant (D)**(roughly 40-100% composition), a**Sub-dominant (S)** ( roughly 10-40%) composition) a**Minor Component (M)** (roughly 2-5% composition), or a**Trace Component (T)** ( <2% composition) based on weight or cover composition in the area of interest (e.g., "Actual 2 column) relative to the "Potential 2 column derived from information found in the ecological site/description and/or at the ecological reference area.

**Biological Crust** 3 dominance is evaluated solely **oncover** not composition by weight